UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,027	01/21/2004	Wolfgang Maus	E-80044	9168
24131 7590 08/11/2009 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP				
PO BOX 2480		MERKLING, MATTHEW J		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/763,027	MAUS, WOLFG	ANG				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>MATTHEW J. MERKLING</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Alfred Dassler</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>04 August 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dassler requested clarification on the 112 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection regarding new matter and pointed to the section of the specification which set forth the grounds for the new limitations brought into the amended claim 1. The examiner indicated that such an argument would be taken into consideration upon receipt of a formal response to the office action of 5/5/09.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/M. J. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/Jennifer K. Michener/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1795					